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Abstract: To wear comfortable stockings and make legs look beautiful are the attractive subjects for women of all ages. With the exception of summer season, almost all women wear hosiery: panty hoses, tights, knee-length socks and socks. In general, knitted fabric of hosiery has the advantage of being highly efficient at both stretching highly and fitting. In this study, a variety of women’s hosiery are examined for their performance both under no deformation and deformed condition during wearing. One of purposes of this study is to compare the performance of various hosieries objectively. The other of purposes is to estimate the change of the performance by wearing deformation for each sort of hosiery. Deformation is observed in wearing test and given an account of stretch ratio values for wale and course directions. Compression property is measured first. Standard thickness, compression work and resilience values are recorded from the pressure-thickness relation curves. And air resistance and thermal conductance are measured by KES- AP1 and KES- THERMO- LABO II fabric testing instruments, respectively. In addition, sensory tests are carried out to examine affective disposition of women who choose stockings to acquire better aesthetic and comfort. Tests are held with several items, for example, tactile impressions of softness, thickness and smoothness, and visual impressions of transparency and degree of compression. In the tests questionnaires are used and judges are young women.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Clothing style to wear skirts is reported to begin in the tumulus period in Japan. Skirts have become the important item and at the same they has specialized men and women’s wears. Skirts design has changed in times, and women have paid the attention to foot fashion. As Japan’s textile industry developed in modern times, length of skirts has changed in the times. For foot wears, epoch making shall be the development of the hosiery which was actualized by the invention of a circular knitting machine. In previous studies many scholars studied the quality of durability (Aly
and Stanley, 1973) (Gibson, 1973) (Sasaki, Toyoma, Kato and Tanaka, 1977). Since mass production, bulk buying and mass consumption, days have come the quality evaluation research becomes active. Although hosieries tend to be recognized as expendable objects, the high quality which let legs to show beautiful and slim is keen required among women. Papers on the relation of feeling to wear and deformation during wearing and tensile properties of typical hosieries have been reported (Fujimoto, Sukigara and Niwa, 1989) (Sukigara, Fujimoto and Niwa, 1990).

In this study, various mechanical properties are measured using KES-FB (Kawabata Evaluation System for Fabrics) system. Air Resistance is measured for all the samples. From the results, categorized typical hosiery groups such as tights, knee length socks and panty hoses are evaluated and compared for their properties. The sensory test is also carried out on the other hand. Subjects judge seven samples of panty hoses on foot torsos.

2. SURVEY OF ACTUAL STATE TO WEAR HOSIERY

In order to get the knowledge and requirements of consumers, questionnaire investigation was carried out as follows:

Term: the end of November to the beginning of December, 2013
Subjects: 94 universities students, 20-22 years old

Investigation method: Using a questionnaire, Respondents fill out evaluation forms which include seven items on purposes to wear hosier, wearing frequency, time and seasons, favorite combination of bottoms and hosiery, value of buying hosiery, other requirements. After collected questionnaire sheets, answers on items were amounted and discussed.

3. EXPERIMENTAL

3.1. Hosiery Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Hosiery Group</th>
<th>Fiber contents</th>
<th>Courses / cm</th>
<th>Wales / cm</th>
<th>Thickness, m</th>
<th>Weight, mg / cm²</th>
<th>B.D., gf / cm³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Knee/ high length-socks</td>
<td>H cotton / acrylic</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>38.53</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>40.05</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tights T</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>nylon</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>nylon</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>29.22</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>nylon</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Panty hoses P</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>nylon</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>85.25</td>
<td>56.75</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42.25</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>nylon / polyurethane</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>nylon</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>nylon / silk / polyurethane</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>nylon / silk / polyurethane</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After researching the hosieries sold on the market, 20 typical hosieries were prepared. Basic properties of samples for this study are shown in Table 1. Samples are classified into three groups that are knee/over-knee length socks, tights and panty hoses.

3.2. Wearing test to measure extension during wearing

In order to know the stretch ratio when the subject wear a hosiery, the distances of marks, which are marked in both of wale and course directions, are measured before and after putting on samples. Those samples before and after stretched by wearer were provided to the measurement of air resistance and thermal property.

3.3. Measurement of structural properties and mechanical properties

Compression property was measured by KES-FB3 fabric testing apparatuses respectively. Thickness at the compression stress of 0.5gf/cm$^2$ was derived from the compression stress-thickness curve measured under the condition at the maximum compression stress of 50gf/cm$^2$.

3.4. Measurement of air resistance and Thermal conductance

Air resistance was measured by KES-AP1 apparatus under one cycle process of the charge-discharge of constant air flow amount through sample sides.

For the measurement of thermal property, rectangle of specimen were taken from samples of each group and conditioned in the laboratory. Thermal conductance and insulation ability are measured by d KES-Thermo-labo II fabric testing instrument which applies the method of two plates of different temperatures under steady state condition. Heat flux from heat source to heat sink was measured.

Those experimental measurement were carried out in the laboratory controlled at the standard condition of 20 ± 2 ℃ and 65 ± 5 % R.H. .

4. SENSORY TESTS BY TOUCH AND VISION TABLE

Semantic differential method is applied in the sensory tests, using selected words list which includes the ten most important words for the vision tests and the six most important words for the touch tests. The sensory tests were carried out for seven kinds of characteristic hoses which were displayed as shown in Figure 1. The “feel “of the hosiery's wear – both positive and negative adjectives were elicited. The pairs of bipolar words were prepared and arranged into a grid where each trait was put on the between the extreme values of its poles and could be estimated on a five point scale. The ten pairs in the vision tests were “wishing to wear everyday/not” “ wishing to wear sometimes/not” “ transparent/not transparent” “look beautiful/not” “look slim/not slim” “comfortable/not comfortable” “durable/not durable” “thick/thin” ” warm/cold” “preferable/not preferable”. The six pairs of words for touch tests are “wishing to wear everyday/not” “smooth/rough” “comfortable/not comfortable” “thick/thin” ” warm/cold” “preferable/not preferable”.

Samples were rated with consumer respondents by touch and vision. The results were examined in relation to the samples properties measured in the experiment.
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. Purposes to wear hosiery

![Figure 2: Purposes to wear panty hoses and comparison of them between “spring and summer” and “fall and winter”](image)

1: To be cool  2: To be warm  3: Keep foot clean  4: Neat appearance  5: UV cut  6: Prevent blister  7: Prevent welling  8: Prevent fatigued  9: To show legs beautiful  10: The others
5.2. Results of measurement of structural properties and mechanical properties

Thickness and bulk density are plotted in Figure 3. Samples in H group are thick at around 3 mm. Tights samples of T group have a range of thickness at 0.8 - 0.13 mm. For samples of panty hoses, P group, thickness shows thinner than 0.3 mm, but bulk density has a wide range from 0.06 - 0.25.

5.3. Air Resistance and thermal property

The relationship between the specific air resistance and the bulk density is shown in Figure 4. The specific air resistance increases with the increase of the density. The H group samples exhibits larger air resistance than other samples of T and P groups. The air resistance of yarn assemblies increases as the diameter of consistent yarn increases.
For thermal property, the effective thermal conductance of samples was measured. To calculate the thermal conductance, values were divided by the standard thickness of each sample. The effective thermal conductance of samples of H group is $20 - 30 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^2\text{K}^{-1}$; T group is $40 - 70 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^2\text{K}^{-1}$; P group is $80 - 120 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^2\text{K}^{-1}$. The effective thermal conductance panty hoses has a wide range depending to the consistent yarn thickness, however, which is thinner than other two groups samples. Then thermal insulation ability is one fourth or one fifth.

When hosiery is strained by wearing, the knitted fabrics are extended and change the properties.

We measured extension rates during wearing. Stretch ratio of area for calves of high length hosiery was around 1.5 and that of other type hosieries and tights showed 2.0 - 2.5 ratios. By the deformation of area of sample during wearing, thickness decreased by 30%. The decrease of thickness bought the reduction of air resistance and insulation.

5.4. Sensorial estimation of panty hoses

We selected samples of P group, the sensory estimation on aesthetic and favor impression. The feeling to the touch are summarized by calculation of ranking score by respondents for “wishing to wear everyday/not” “smooth/rough” “comfortable/not comfortable” ”thick/thin” ”warm/cold” “preferable/not preferable” in Figure 5.

![Figure 5: Results of sensory touch test for panty hoses](image_url)
The vertical axis shows the five steps differential scales and chart graphs are the mean values of each feel rating. It is obvious that thick feeling links warm feeling as shown for samples with no. 13, no. 18 and no. 20. Comparing them, the sample no.13 which is most dense stitches, was recognized as to be preferable and comfortable. The sample no. 18 follows. The sample no. 20 with sparser stitch than no. 13 was judged as to be not preferable and not comfortable.

It was found that visual feeling becomes better as the area stitch density is sparser and for the dense sample could be said oppositely felt comfortable, preferable to the touch.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Although hosieries tend to be recognized as expendable objects, the high quality which let foot to show beautiful and slim is keen required among women. Sensory tests are carried out to examine affective disposition of women who choose stockings to acquire better aesthetic and comfort. This time, Sensory test's Samples were rated with consumer respondents by vision and touch. The results were examined in relation to the samples properties measured in the experiment

Consumers tend to make decisions about purchasing hosieries on the basis of appearance on showcases. In this study, however, there were great differences in some items between results of the vision tests and that of the touch tests. This suggests that comprehensive selection including considerations of tactile sensation is needed to heighten utility.

As a result of the touch test and measurements of panty hoses samples, bulk density of materials strongly affected overall preference. Specifically, higher bulk density made consumers more comfortable.

Sensory tests of this study carried out in cold districts in winter. Therefore, it is assumed that samples looking thick and warm tend to be preferred. In addition to tests in this paper, detailed tests with consideration of seasons and areas are required.
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