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Abstract

Reflecting on identity is a key point in comprehending the whole Roma issue. The attribution of an identity from the outside on the basis of allegedly ethnical characteristics, e.g. the nomadic life, should be replaced with a self-determined identity, in which the ethnicity only represents one aspect among other characteristics. Heteronomous identification is a typical feature of a racist Anti-gypsyism afflicted by prejudices, expressed by extermination, expulsion and repression. Migration of Roma has always been the result of their expulsion by the dominant society. The exaggeration in the mass-media of a “migration issue” caused by the Roma in Western Europe serves the political interests of nationalistic trends and parties. The Biblical associations with wanderers and homeless should be replaced with the image of the healed lepers, which is a model for the inclusion of the marginalized.
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The topic of this paper is Anti-gypsyism and Migration. First I would like to make clear, who we talk about, when we talk about Roma, because the question of identity or identification will be present in my whole lecture. Then I would like to integrate the topic of migration of Roma in a wider context of historical and present anti-Gypsy discrimination and explain the term “Anti-gypsyism” in order to be able to apply this concept to current problems of migration of Roma in Europe.

In France, in the cities of Lille and Lyon, Roma-camps have been erased by force during the last weeks although during his presidential campaign the new French president Hollande had promised to act differently than his predecessor Sarkozy.

In Austria the Supreme Court has decided recently that bans on begging conform with the existing constitution. These prohibitions were put up by some provincial governments after foreign beggars “with dark skin” had appeared.

Who do we talk about? If the newspapers write about “Roma-Camps” everybody seems to know who lives there. If the European Commission looks for Strategies of Roma-Integration, it seems to be clear who is concerned.

As members of the majority – I would prefer to use the term dominant society to include the factor of power in the relationship – we normally assume that we can know and fix up the ethnicity of Roma along their attributes of language, customs, skin-colour, their descent or along certain, allegedly ethnically inherent behaviours such as nomadism. In this context it has to be mentioned that the term ethnicity is often used as a synonym for the politically incorrect term race.

This traditional and unquestioned “knowledge” about Roma is not at all constricted to rightwing groups. I will show this with the help of an example of Roman Catholic documents


3 http://www.verfassungsgerichtshof.at/ viewed on 20.08.2012.


about Roma. A speech by Pope Paul VI in front of an assembly of Roma in Pomezia 1965 is the first really relevant statement of the recent history. One famous sentence is quoted almost in every document of the Vatican concerning Roma up to the present. I will quote the first part as a whole.

Dear Gypsies, dear nomads, dear Gitanos, coming from all over Europe, to you our greetings. To you our greeting, you eternal pilgrims, to you, you voluntary fugitives, to you, who are always in flight, to you, who are restless to be on the way. To you without a house of your own, without fixed abode, without a friendly homeland, without church; you are in the heart of the church, because you are poor and need assistance, instruction, help. The church loves the poor and the suffering, the small ones, the dispossessed, the abandoned.”

Greetings to you, who have chosen your little tribe, your caravan as your segregated and secret world. To you, who regard the world with distrust and who are regarded with distrust by all, you, who always and everywhere wanted to be foreigners, isolated, strange, excluded from all social circles, you, who have been on the march for centuries and do not know where to arrive and where to stay. You are not at the margins of the church, in a certain sense you are in the centre, you are at the heart. You are at the heart of the church, because you are alone: nobody is alone in the church; you are in the heart of the church, because you are poor and need assistance, instruction, help. The church loves the poor and the suffering, the small ones, the dispossessed, the abandoned.6

At the beginning the Roma were addressed not only as pilgrims, that is, this term which was used by themselves or which was ascribed to them when they appeared in Europe and that led to a friendly welcome and a benevolent support, but as eternal pilgrims. This notion follows the widespread myth that the gypsies had refused to help the Holy Family during their flight to Egypt and therefore have to pilgrim eternally around the world as punishment.7 In the following list all the common images and perceptions are projected onto the gypsies which even partially blame them for their situation. Gypsies are voluntarily exiled, are restless and unsteady, they do not have their own house and have no professions at all. That they are looked at with distrust is obviously caused by their distrust towards the world as was mentioned earlier. That they are isolated, strange and excluded from every social circle is obviously the result of their own wish to be foreigners always and everywhere (“voi che avete voluto essere forestieri sempre e dappertutto”). At last they are on their way without a goal, so they need instruction by the church.

6 Pope Paul VI (1965): “Cari Zingari, cari Nomadi, cari Gitani, venuti da ogni parte d’Europa, a voi il Nostro saluto. 1. Il Nostro saluto a voi, pellegrini perpetui; a voi, esuli volontari; a voi, profughi sempre in cammino; a voi, viandanti senza riposo! A voi, senza casa propria, senza dimora fissa, senza patria amica, senza società pubblica! A voi, che mancate di lavoro qualificato, mancate di contatti sociali, mancate di mezzi sufficienti! Saluto a voi, che avete scelto la vostra piccola tribù, la vostra carovana, come vostro mondo separato e segreto; a voi, che guardate il mondo con diffidenza, e con diffidenza siete da tutti guardati; a voi, che avete voluto essere forestieri sempre e dappertutto, isolati, estranei, sospinti fuori di ogni cerchio sociale; a voi, che da secoli siete in marcia, e ancora non avete fissato dove arrivare, dove rimanere? Voi nella Chiesa non siete ai margini, ma, sotto certi aspetti, voi siete al centro, voi siete nel cuore. Voi siete nel cuore della Chiesa, perché siete soli: nessuno è solo nella Chiesa; siete nel cuore della Chiesa, perché siete poveri e bisognosi di assistenza, di istruzione, di aiuto; la Chiesa ama i poveri, i sofferenti, i piccoli, i diseredati, gli abbandonati.”

As a consequence the pastoral care of the gypsies is assigned to the Pontifical Council for the Pastoral Care of Migrants and Itinerant People where, besides socio-economic nomads (these are members of the civil aviation\textsuperscript{8}, international students, circus and carnival people, people of the sea), ethnic nomads such as Roma and Sinti are also covered.\textsuperscript{9} As a consequence Tuareg from the Sahara, Maasai from Tanzania and Kenya and Pygmies from Central Africa have been invited to conferences of this Pontifical Council.\textsuperscript{10}

These are the strange outcomes of a concept of identity, which assumes that it would be possible to define Roma along behaviours and customs such as nomadism, alleged criminality, begging and so on, that are attributed to them as being typical. But that is wrong.

Following the theory of the symbolic interactionism represented by George Herbert Mead\textsuperscript{11}, Erving Goffman\textsuperscript{12}, Lothar Krappmann\textsuperscript{13} and others I would suggest that ethnicity be understood not as an inherited marker, but as a result of a social interaction.

Ethnicity is therefore only one facet of my identity, which is more or less important – depending on the circumstances – and which can be more or less in the main focus of my self-image besides other traits of my identity like profession, gender, language and so on. Nevertheless ethnicity is always the result of an interactive process. The outcome may be a total acceptance of the labelling by members of the dominant society or the total denial or something in between these two extremes. This process is always a question of power to define someone or to define yourself.

In all of the European countries there is a big difference between the statistics of the official census and the estimates of experts concerning the figures of members of this minority. The reason is obviously that Roma do not want to be recognized and defined as Roma. In Romania for example in the census of 2002 there were 535,140 persons who declared to be Roma,\textsuperscript{14} the official national report of Romania to the EU in 2012 gives a number of

\textsuperscript{8} Such as pilots of military aircraft resort to the military chaplaincy.
\textsuperscript{9} http://www.vatican.va/roman_curia/pontifical_councils/migrants/index_ge.htm viewed on 20.08.2012.
\textsuperscript{11} George Herbert Mead, Geist, Identität und Gesellschaft, Frankfurt/Main 1968.
\textsuperscript{12} Erving Goffman, Stigma. Über Techniken der Bewältigung beschädigter Identitäten, Frankfurt/Main 1975.
\textsuperscript{13} Lothar Krappmann, Soziologische Dimensionen der Identität. Strukturelle Bedingungen für die Teilnahme an Interaktionsprozessen, Stuttgart 1972.
1,850,000 people\(^\text{15}\). In Austria only 10\% of the estimated 30,000 – 40,000 Roma declared to be Roma at the last census.\(^\text{16}\) So this is not only a phenomenon of the eastern European countries.

But why is that so?

Without any doubt one of the most important reasons is the dis- crimination which the Roma had and still have to suffer. Many researches prove that Roma are amongst the groups who are most discriminated against in Europe. This has recently been confirmed by the survey of the Fundamental Rights Agency of the EU.\(^\text{17}\)

That is why I would like to introduce and explain the term Anti- gypsyism here.

Anti-gypsyism, in German better known as “Antiziganismus”, is a term analogous to anti-Semitism and defines images and prejudices against “so called” gypsies as well as the stigmatizing of people as gypsies and the following discrimination, exclusion and persecution.\(^\text{18}\)

### Anti-gypsyism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extermination</td>
<td>Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expulsion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anti-gypsyism has a long tradition. On the large scale between ex- clusion and inclusion it reaches from extermination, the murder and physical annihilation, through expulsion, the eviction, and repression, the subjugation, to integration of Roma into different functional systems of society, whereas the last is a pre-stage to full inclusion. Up to now inclusion has remained rather wishful thinking and an aim that most European countries have not even started to achieve. It is astonishing and significant that there is no chronological development from the crudest persecutions to less drastic ones or vice-versa, but that the different expressions of Anti-gypsyism can be used as appropriate to the respective function for the dominant society. So this makes clear that Anti-gypsyism has very little to do with the real Roma.\(^\text{19}\)

**Extermination**, murder of Roma motivated through racism, was performed yesterday and exists today. Whereas the first Roma coming to Europe were well accepted as penitents and pilgrims, they were outlawed by the imperial decree of 1408.\(^\text{20}\)

---


\(^\text{19}\) G. Haupt, Antiziganismus und Sozialarbeit, p. 111 ff.

of Mainz ordered that “gypsies and other thievish vagabonds” should be executed without trial, because they led a wandering life.\footnote{Guenter Lewy, “Rückkehr nicht erwünscht”. Die Verfolgung der Zigeuner im Dritten Reich, München 2001, p. 16.} It is difficult to fix exactly how many Roma were systematically killed during the Nazi-regime but the figures reach several hundreds of thousands. Over 90% of the Austrian Roma were murdered in Lodz, Auschwitz and other concentration-camps\footnote{Gerhard Baumgartner, Florian Freund, “Daten zur Bevölkerungsgruppe der burgenländischen Roma und Sinti 1945-2001”, in: Zeitgeschichte, 30. Jahrgang (März/April 2003), Heft 2, p. 91-105.}; in Romania approximately 11,000 Roma starved to death in Transnistria due to the fascist general Antonescu – that is almost the figure of the Roma who were suffocated by gas in Auschwitz-Birkenau.\footnote{Mariana Hausleitner, Brigitte Mihok, Juliane Wetzel (ed.), Rumänien und der Holocaust. Zu den Massenverbrechen in Transnistrien 1941-1944, Berlin 2001.} And there is no commemoration of these crimes! Antonescu today is celebrated as a hero in the fight against the communists\footnote{M. Hausleitner, “Das Ende des Antonescu-Kultes? Zum Verhältnis von Geschichte und Politik in Rumänien nach 1990”, in Südosteuropa, 51. (7-9/2002), p. 412-430.}, in Austria commemoration-plates in the former communities of the victims have been prevented by decisions of local councils over years.\footnote{Dieter Mühl, Die Roma von Kemeten, Oberwart 2003.} Murder and physical annihilation happen even today: Right-extreme, paramilitary groups in Hungary throw Molotov-cocktails on Roma-dwellings and shoot families, including children, when they run out.\footnote{Pester Lloyd 31/2010, 10.08.2010, \url{http://www.pesterlloyd.net/2010_32/32romamorde/32romamorde.html} viewed on 20.08.2012.}

Expulsion of Roma motivated through racism exists today as it existed hundreds of years ago: In 1417 Roma were mentioned for the first time in Germany, in 1498 they were already banned from all German lands and the above mentioned Archbishop of Mainz ordered in 1714 to punish the wives and older children with beatings, branding and ban. At the borders of the countries so-called gypsy gallows were erected to warn and frighten the Roma from entering\footnote{G. Lewy, Rückkehr, p. 14, 16.}.

And today the chasing is euphemistically called “repatriation” and this is put into practice on Romanian Roma in Italy for example. Their settlements in Rome were cleared by bulldozers although the UNHCR protested vigorously\footnote{Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights, Memorandum following his visit to Italy on 19-20 June 2008, CommDH (2008) 18, 28 July 2008, para. 38, \url{https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?id=1309811}, viewed on 21.11.2012.}. In Romania, where they were deported to, the minister for external affairs considered in a TV-interview publicly whether they should be reshipped immediately to camps in the Egypt desert. He did not have to resign.\footnote{Cioroianu said during an Antena 3 TV programme on a Saturday that Romanians who steal and commit other crimes in other countries should be sent to do hard labour in disciplinary battalions. “I was thinking if we could buy a plot of land in the Egyptian desert where we could send the people who put us to shame”, Cioroianu said. “Roma Virtual Network” Wed Nov 7, 2007.} I will soon come back to this form of Anti-gypsyism which is very important for the topic of migration.

Repression motivated by racism is so diverse in all functional systems of society that a summarized presentation here is impossible. Let me therefore only mention one fact which is nevertheless rather important to understand migration. Few people in Europe know that Roma were enslaved immediately after their arrival in Romania\footnote{South of the Carpathian mountains.} in the 14th century. They were slaves of the so-called boyars, of the princes and of the monasteries, up to 1855 in Moldavian and 1856 in the Wallachian principality.\footnote{Viorel Achim, The Roma in Romanian History, Budapest-New York 2004, p. 7-26.} This was only 150 years ago. The consequences of this forced labour for the actual social and economic situation of Roma can hardly be overestimated.
It is true that there were also times when Roma enjoyed periods of relative integration. The Roman-German Emperor Sigismund of Luxemburg issued a decree granting them security;\textsuperscript{32} being skilled blacksmiths they were appreciated by the Ottoman Empire; many Roma from Eastern Europe regret no longer being under communist rule because at that time they had at least a regular work and income: until recent times in the Western states they were accepted as traders and craftsmen in economic niches.

But as mentioned earlier the situation can change abruptly depending on the needs and function of the dominant society. Following a relatively tolerant attitude under the regime of Ceaușescu in Romania suddenly after the fall of communism in 1990/91 pogroms and murders on Roma occurred.\textsuperscript{33} Evidently Anti-gypsyism has nothing to do with the real Roma, but depends on the political, social and economic needs of the dominant society.

Let us focus on the anti-Gypsy practice of expulsion. Roma have been expelled during their entire history and throughout Europe. Unlike the ex-termination, which ends with the death of those concerned, this method has the same intended outcome for the majority population and for those in power, that is to get rid of them, not to see them any longer, not to have to deal with them any longer, at best to use them as discouraging examples.

Some facts: in 1505 the Roma were mentioned in Great Britain for the first time, in 1530 the first law was made to expel them from Great Britain partly as far as America and Australia.\textsuperscript{34} The Earl of Hessen-Darmstadt in Germany, Ernst Ludwig, declared in 1734, ‘gypsies’ had to leave his country within a month, otherwise they would lose their property and life. For capture and homicide of ‘gypsies’ a prize-money was promised.\textsuperscript{35}

About the year 1600 Roma from Portugal were deported to Angola and to some African islands. Roma from Spain, who were supposed to be heretics and magicians, were deported to Brazil. In 1665 Scottish Roma were banned and shipped to Jamaica and Barbados, Polish Roma were deported to Siberia. At the beginning of the 19th Century Basque Roma were expatriated to Louisiana. Similar procedures happened in the Netherlands and other Western European countries.\textsuperscript{36}

In Eastern European countries the situation was the same. In 1615 the city of Tallin (Estland) enacted that gypsies found on the marketplace of the city had to be arrested and publicly whipped at the pillory. In 1747 the general governor of Riga ordered that beggars, ‘gypsies’ and bear trainers should be expelled over the frontiers of the country, wherever they might be seen. In 1759 Tzaritza Elisabeth of Russia ordered officials not to allow ‘gypsies’ to enter the city of St. Petersburg and the surrounding area.\textsuperscript{37}

And here one more historic example for the ban on beggars:

After the death of the Austrian Emperor Joseph II and the failure of his policy of the assimilation of Roma, which was inspired by the age of Enlightenment, the expulsion of Roma started again. A decree from 1811 goes like this:

“Gypsies, who enter the country, are to be repelled. Some gypsies sneaked into the country in spite of this interdiction and spread throughout the land pretending to beg in the streets. They are a risk for the public security. The wandering of gypsies is prohibited in Austria. For street collection only natives with good reputation are accepted. Gypsies have to be

\textsuperscript{32} Ibidem.
\textsuperscript{33} Isabel Fonseca, Bury me standing. The Gypsies and Their Journey, New York 1995, p. 140-197.
\textsuperscript{34} Thomas Aczon, David Gallant, Romanichal Gypsies, Hove 2000, p. 44 f; p. 13.
\textsuperscript{35} G. Lewy, Rückkehr, p. 16.
returned to their home country and the entry to our country has to be banned at the border.”

It is not necessary to stress the topicality of these historical experiences in the light of today’s ban on begging in Austria and other European countries. I sum up these historical examples so extensively to demonstrate, that expulsion, which means forced migration if you want to call it this way, is not a local phenomenon, not a national phenomenon, not a new phenomenon. These countries from which Roma are deported today look back on a century-long experience. Expulsions can euphemistically be called push-factors of migration, the victims of such push-factors are called refugees.

Indeed, sometimes Roma have been treated as refugees. Roma from Serbia, Kosovo and Albania were accepted as refugees in Austria and Germany during the Balkan wars. Roma who fled from the Pogroms in Romania to Germany in the early 1990s were condoned there. In 2009 such Czech Roma as the well-known journalist Anna Poláková applied successfully for asylum in Canada: in 2011 the Hungarian sociologist and advisor of the Hungarian government for Roma-related issues, István Kamarás fled to Canada until, yes, until Canada reinstalled the visas for Czech and Hungarian citizens, until Germany signed a repatriation-treaty with Romania, until Austria and Germany pushed the tolerated de-facto-refugees back to Kosovo or Albania, where they had almost no chance to rebound.

---

43 Ibidem.
And the pull-factors? The fear of the nomadic, mobile Roma-masses, that migrate to the west and endanger our wealth and security is often heard in political debates. However, the equation of Roma with mobile nomads is factually wrong. According to similar estimates of Roma-NGOs and scientists, over 90% of people denominated as Roma are sedentary, in some regions even 100%.

In the village where my institute has cared for a Roma-project now for more than 20 years it is necessary to support the people by financing and organizing the transport to the next district capital to get an ID-card or a birth-certificate, so that they can apply for the legitimate claims on the social security system in Romania.

There are no reliable figures about new Roma-migrants to Western Europe, because a selection along ethnic affiliation is no longer permitted, although it is practiced from time to time even now. The report of the Commissioner of Human Rights and the OSCE on “Recent Migration of Roma in Europe”\(^\text{47}\) points out that the masses of Roma-migrants appearing in the yellow press are excessively exaggerated. In reality it is a matter of hundred, in Great Britain may be 5,000 – 6,000 persons. Some case-studies may show it more clearly: in Klagenfurt, the city I come from with about 100,000 inhabitants, 10 – 15 beggars according to the local chief-inspector of the police have been enough to cause a law against begging in the whole province of Carinthia.\(^\text{48}\) A family of Romanian Roma with 20 members who looked for shelter under a motorway-bridge was on the title-pages of the local press for weeks,\(^\text{49}\) in Barcelona, a city with 1.6 million inhabitants, according to a recently published profound study there are about 600 – 700 Roma who immigrated from Eastern Europe and between 5,900 and 7,100 live along the Mediterranean coast of Spain.\(^\text{50}\) The actual evictions of so-called Roma-camps in Lille and Lyon concerned in each case about 150 – 200 people.\(^\text{51}\) That the new French president Hollande takes it into account to break his promises uttered during his presidential campaign makes it clear that these actions are symbolic acts, that these expulsions have the quality of an “event” as Michael Stewart describes it in his new book The Gypsy “Menace”. Populism and the New Anti-Gypsy Politics.\(^\text{52}\) These are artificially staged singular cases to which a symbolic meaning as a topos is allocated, that is, ostentatiously erected as a warning sign at the frontiers just like the medieval gallows. Michael Stewart says that stressing the difference and the otherness of the Roma functions like a catalyst because the economically and socially unsettled Europeans need the Anti-gypsyism as a background for the common feeling of unity.\(^\text{53}\) It is astonishing that this populism is practiced not only by political right-wing movements as Jobbik in Hungary, but now also by the socialist French president Hollande. Considering that even in such allegedly open societies as Norway fierce anti-Gypsy currents appear nowadays, this theory seem to be confirmed.

Let us put the famous question of Immanuel Kant on ethics: what shall we do?

---


The European Commission has urged the member-states to present national strategies for Roma-integration. To read them properly, you must have some experiences of interpreting fictional texts. In addition to that, some of these papers only repeat recommendations that have already failed work during recent years. Of course, it sounds reasonable to make the migration of marginalized Roma to Western Europe unnecessary by improving their social and economic situation in their countries of origin. But the main fault in my opinion is the focus on changes on the side of Roma, and not on efforts to change the attitude of the dominant society, to fight Anti-gypsyism. The main fault is the opinion that “they” must change, not we.

I will explain this with two small examples:

Without any doubt there are many Roma who are not integrated in the ordinary labour-market. That is why in Slovakia, in Hungary and other countries neoliberal methods of labour-market-politics were introduced by linking the social allowance to the obligation of public work in order to motivate allegedly work-shy Roma to start regular work. Therefore special activation programmes were installed with help of the European Social Fund, the World-Bank and so on. The expenses for social allowance for the state of Slovakia could be diminished by 50% through this international funding. But many employers dismissed their workers and replaced them with workers of these activation programs who earned only 60% of the minimum-wage. A research of the UNDP showed that 60% of the participants of these activation programs did the same work as before. Some scientists call this modern slavery.54

Second example – education. Without any doubt a low education-level is a handicap for integration into the labour market. Times are over when analphabetic people could survive as day-labourers in agricultural collective farms. But it is very often willingly forgotten that the majority often deprived Roma of education. In Austria and Germany the Nazi-regime prohibited the school-attendance of Roma-children. The effects on the second and third generation are still measurable. And in many countries Roma were and are displaced to so-called special schools for persons with special needs where an adequate education can hardly be achieved.55

Now education programmes propose models like “the second chance” in Romania, so that adult Roma get a second chance to achieve graduation. In our Roma-Quarter in Romania we looked for people wishing to take part in this programme, and obtained permission, but the project failed because the headmaster of the school allegedly did not have a classroom available for the Roma.

This is the reason for my ceterum censeo: for every Euro that is invested in a Roma-project, another Euro or better two must be invested to fight Anti-gypsyism. Pure pleas to humanism, even schooling against xenophobia and racism will be insufficient. Many publications of inter-cultural pedagogies and my own experiences prove that the best way to overcome the fear of diversity and difference is primarily successful through contact or encounter, meeting someone in person.

Being a catholic theologian also let me look for some inspiration from the Bible. In many ways Roma are similar to the lepers of biblical times. They have to stay away from the villages, looking for shelter under motorway-bridges, besides garbage dumps, in places which good bourgeois never enter. In some regions there is a concrete wall between the Roma-quarters and the quarters of the dominant society, in most regions there is a wall made of invisible glass. In biblical times leprosy was more than a medical diagnosis, it was a social category. In the

pericope of the healing of the leper (Mark 1,40-45 par) Jesus overcomes this gap by touching him and thus healing him. Touch, the most intimate form of contact, is a healing from exclusion.

Many initiatives of members of the majority for Roma started with such an intense contact: one of the oldest Roma-NGOs in Austria was founded by a journalist who worked on a report about Romanian Roma, the beggars lobby struggling today to lift the ban on begging in Austria, was founded by an Austrian film-maker who accompanied a Romanian Roma beggar to her home to know her environment there.

So we should do the contrary of the common practice, no scaring, no evicting, no deporting, but creating institutionalized fields of encounter, of welcome, of admittance, where strangeness can be overcome and familiarity can evolve. Sometimes I dream that churches could perform this task, although the Anti-gypsyism of the society is widely reproduced in religious communities.

There are already some examples of best practice, not too many, but there are some groups and NGOs doing this work. In the city of Graz a catholic priest was shocked when he discovered Slovakian beggars had to spend the night in public toilets in winter time. He built a shelter, became their advocate, founded an enterprise of noodle-production in their home village and has a big influence on public discourse. A Sinti-NGO in Upper-Austria takes over the role of a mediator when there are tensions with incoming Roma and they have succeeded in building an adequate campsite for travelling Roma. In Munich a Roma-NGO supplies special social services for Roma and is financed by the city-council. For a long time I have demanded contact points for Roma in every county where people with intercultural knowledge and language skills, maybe with a Roma background, are employed by the community to provide information to all sides.

59 http://www.vinzi.at viewed on 20.08.2012.
60 http://www.sinti-roma.at/ viewed on 20.08.2012.
I do not think that mass-immigration of Roma to Western Europe is a real danger. The flooding of the labour-markets in Western Europe by East-European workers after the end of restrictions on 1st May 2011 did not happen as sceptics had predicted. Nor did such horror-scenarios come true at the time when the poorest accession candidates Portugal, Spain and Greece joined the EU. I think that the danger of ruin to the fundamental pillars of the European Constitution, free mobility and choice of workplace, is much bigger.

A friendly welcome of Roma in Western states could lead to a change of mind in their countries of origin. Romania would not need to feel embarrassed any longer about its Roma population and the chance to do more for the equality of the Roma minority within Romania could rise.

Not all problems would be solved by such a new attitude, but new and creative possibilities could appear:

In a process of dialogue the majority could find a more balanced concept of manifold identities of Roma, where Roma can take part in decision-making. Roma could be recognized with more facets of their identity, for instance as mothers who want to feed their children and prepare them for a better future; Roma could be appreciated with their talents in language-skills and arts and so on. Roma would have the chance to understand their ethnicity as only one trait of their hybrid identity and not to be reduced permanently to negative prejudices. A permanent discriminating rating of Roma-ethnicity by the dominant society can lead to a Re-ethnification, that Bukow and others found in their research about Turkish youngsters in Germany. The children of these Turkish immigrants who were not allowed to achieve integration into the German society, defiantly reaffirmed their old Turkish ethnicity, reinforced it to a now positively connoted differentia specifica to the surrounding society. There is a rather big danger in overemphasizing the ethnicity in comparison with other traits of identity and personality. We could see this occur harmfully in the Balkan wars. Therefore I am rather sceptical – in contrast to my friend Tomas Acton – that ethnically pure religious communities such as some Roma-Pentecostal communities are really a good path to a better future, because they do not overcome the source of the problem, the exclusion and the gap to the majority, but underline and reinforce it ideologically.

In a democratic process of dialogue and encounter the self-representation of Roma could surely be improved, be it through NGOs, though political parties or through personalities. Without any doubt it is pleasing to have an increasing number of Roma-NGOs. A political self-organization of Roma, which was shattered and de facto inefficient for many years, is indispensable in a democratic society to support one’s interests on national as well as on European levels. But one has to be careful because the definition of Roma as a transnational minority or the so-called Europeanization of the Roma-question is used by some national politicians. They argue in front of their voters that national changes are unnecessary because Roma are not members of the respective nationality but a supranational entity for which the EU has to take the responsibility. This danger is pointed out by Peter Vermeersch in a recently published article.

Finally a structured dialogic conflict management could minimize the importance of political concepts of Roma as enemies, which obviously never withstand a comparison with reality.

Roma have been in Europe for over 600 years. They have survived discrimination, slavery and the systematic annihilation during the Nazi-regime in the so-called Porrajmos. If we as members of the dominant society take over our duties and try to recompense even financially

---

some of the crimes we did on the Roma over centuries, if we devote ourselves to fight Anti-gypsyism, if we deny the temptation to use our position of power to fix up a Roma-identity though ethnic ascriptions, if we take over the risk of an encounter at eye level and experience the enrichment by doing so, I am sure that migration of Roma will be the least problem to solve.