Performance analysis of a floating power plant with a unidirectional turbine based power module

Prasad Dudhgaonkar¹, Kedarnath S.², Biren Pattanaik¹, Purnima Jalihal¹, Jayashankar V.², *

¹ National Institute of Ocean Technology, Chennai, India
² Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

* Corresponding author. Tel: +91 4422574427, Fax: +91 4422570509, E-mail: jshankar@ee.iitm.ac.in

Abstract: A major attraction of a floating wave power plant as opposed to a fixed Oscillating water column (OWC) plant is in the cost of construction. The price paid is in the lower efficiency of conversion in the hydrodynamic stage. This puts onus on the subsequent power module stage in achieving an efficiency that is necessary for a commercial plant. A new backward bent ducted buoy (BBDB) was designed in which the power module is a twin unidirectional turbine. Basic experimentation on the power module is done on a turbine with 165 mm diameter and characterized with bidirectional flow with widely varying flow rates. The efficiency is shown to be better than 68% over the expected working range. The details of a plant producing 50 kW for Indian conditions is described. The range of powers over which a BBDB structure compares with a fixed OWC is highlighted.
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1. Introduction

The oscillating water column (OWC) principle is an attractive approach to convert wave energy into electrical energy as exemplified by operational plants in several countries [1]. As of today it is reasonable to expect a wave to wire efficiency of about 24% with a fixed OWC device [2]. Of this, the OWC efficiency would be about 60%, and the power module (comprising bidirectional turbine and generator) would be about 40%. One aspect of the fixed OWC is that the structural cost could lie between 70 to 85% of the overall cost [3]. This has motivated the development of floating OWCs which promise reduced cost with an accompanying reduction in efficiency in the hydrodynamic stage. The largest of such structures was the Japanese Mighty Whale [4]. While laboratory results predicted a best efficiency of 50% in the hydrodynamic stage, practical measurements showed that the best efficiency was about 30%. Hence the overall wave to wire efficiency was closer to 15%. There have been continuous attempts to improve the efficiency of floating OWCs and the backward bent ducted buoy (BBDB) is one such attempt [5]. In this work we show that an improved power module for the BBDB with variable speed twin unidirectional turbines can achieve 65% efficiency and thus make the floating structure attractive in spite of the lower hydrodynamic efficiency.

2. The Backward Bent Ducted Buoy (BBDB)

The Backward Bent Ducted Buoy (BBDB) has been conceived as a relatively low cost wave energy device to convert wave energy into electricity. The BBDB has a backwardly inclined oscillation water column, which has been proved to be more effective than a forwardly inclined one. It uses an oscillating column of water in reverse L shaped chamber or duct, such that the open mouth of the duct is away from the incident waves. The horizontal limb has an opening to the sea and is submerged under water. The vertical limb traps a column of air at the upper region of the duct and a regulated vent allows air to pass in and out under cyclic pressure and partial evacuation of air due to oscillating water surface. The enclosed water column is, not influenced by the wave movements around the buoy, whereby it oscillates.
relative to the wave motion moving the buoy itself. The air current, which arises, drives an air
turbine installed above the water column. This airflow becomes a means to produce power.
Fig. 1 shows a BBDB which was initially deployed for testing without a power module. It had
an equivalent orifice in order to simulate a turbine. The dimensions of the model were
determined after model studies as reported in [6]. The Indian conditions require a zero
crossing period of about 8 seconds and a significant wave height of 1.2 m yielding average
incident energy of 6.3 kW/m. The overall design is based on an improvement in the power
module in the work reported in [7].

Fig. 1. The BBDB being deployed with an orifice for charactering hydrodynamic performance

3. Basic simulations on fixed guide vane and unidirectional impulse turbines

The design of the BBDB described in [7] was based on a fixed guide vane impulse turbine. It
was concluded that an optimum turbine diameter of 1.5m would yield a power output of 30
kW with $H_s = 2.25$ m and $T_z = 8.5$ s where $H_s$ and $T_z$ are the significant wave height and zero
crossing period respectively. We show that an equivalent power module with a twin
unidirectional turbine topology [8] would substantially improve the efficiency of the power
module and thus the overall power conversion. In order to validate the concept, studies are
done in a turbine of diameter 165 mm coupled to a 375W, 3000 rpm dc generator. An
oscillatory flow rig is used to characterize the performance. The diameter was based on two
criteria: The oscillatory flow rig is sufficient for characterizing its performance over the entire
flow regime and more importantly the damping offered by the turbine matches that of the
orifice used in the BBDB hydrodynamic test. This is shown in Fig. 2 which portrays the
pressure- flow behavior of the 165mm unidirectional turbine (UDI), a 165 mm fixed guide
vane impulse turbine (FGV) and orifices ranging from 52.5 mm to 77.9 mm in diameter. As is
known the best hydrodynamic efficiency occurs when the area ratio between the orifice and
the OWC water plane lies in the 1/100 to 1/150 range. The 165 mm turbine meets this
requirement. Fig. 3 shows a comparison of the performances of FGV turbines and UDI
turbines estimated from steady state tests. The comparison is in terms of the efficiency, output
shaft powers and the operating flow coefficient $\phi$. Both machines operate at 3000 rpm. A
fixed speed of operation is initially considered with an induction generator as an option. It is
seen that the UDI turbine has a higher efficiency than the FGV turbine. A more important
result is established based on a careful study of Fig. 3. It can be seen that the efficiency of the
UDI turbine drops when the pneumatic power increases. One solution to remedy this aspect is
to consider a variable speed generator as opposed to a fixed speed one. Accordingly Fig. 4
shows the performance of the UDI for various speeds from 1500 rpm to 3500 rpm. The remarkable result that emerges is that with a variable speed machine, the efficiency can be made to remain at about 0.7 over the entire range of operation from 4 W to 160 W. Further the variation in speed is within the range feasible with doubly fed induction machines or permanent magnet synchronous machines.

Fig. 2: Differential pressure across fixed guide vane impulse turbine and unidirectional impulse turbine for diameter 165 mm for different flow rates (3000 rpm)

Fig. 3: Comparison of performance of fixed guide vane impulse turbine and unidirectional impulse turbine for diameter 165 mm (3000 rpm)
Fig. 4. Estimated performance characteristics for unidirectional impulse turbine of 165 mm diameter over a range of speeds

4. The power module for the BBDB

The prototype BBDB recently made for experiments in Japan had a length of 25 m, breadth of 5.25 m and a draft of 10 m [7]. It could produce a peak output of 30 kW at Hs = 2.25 m with a 1.5 m FGV turbine. We now consider the use of a 1.5 m UDI turbine for the same purpose. Fig. 5 shows the comparison. Fig. 5 a compares the shaft output from the two classes of turbines with speeds of 300 rpm and 600 rpm with input powers up to 400 kW. The corresponding efficiencies are shown in Fig 5 b. Fig. 5 b again highlights the importance of variable speed operation for the UDI turbine.

The next section concerns the experimental validation of the UDI concept.

5. Experimental setup for validation of the UDI turbine

The basic experimental setup for oscillatory flow studies was described in [8] wherein it was also shown that induction generators could be used for the power module. In this work a slightly different approach was used. Two pipe sections were independently coupled to the common oscillatory flow rig. One of them housed a 165 mm UDI turbine with a 3000 rpm, 180 V, 375 W dc generator. The other housed an orifice in conjunction with a fluidic diode (bluff body) as shown in Fig. 6. This was to ensure the matching of the turbine damping to that of an appropriate orifice and also to ensure that the intake stroke provides flow to the orifice and the exhaust stroke vents air through the turbine. It can be appreciated that several basic experiments on the performance of various shapes of fluidic diodes could also be tested with this arrangement. In effect it tests the ability of passive fluidic diodes in controlling flow.
Fig. 5: Comparison of performance of fixed guide vane turbine and unidirectional flow turbine for diameter = 1.5 m for different speeds

Figure 6 presents the comparison of the performance of a FGV turbine of diameter 1.5 m presented in [7] with the estimated performance of a UDI turbine of the same diameter. It can be noted that the variable speed UDI turbine can deliver up to 20 to 30 % more power over FGV turbine. Also the overall wave to wire efficiency of the BBDB with UDI turbine is higher for the entire range of operation.

The quantities measured were pressure across the impulse turbine and the orifice, the turbine inlet duct pressure, the turbine speed, the generator voltage, load current.

Pressure measurements
The differential pressure across the turbine and orifice were measured using calibrated differential pressure transmitters (STD 120) made by M/s. Honeywell.
Voltage and Current measurements
The generator terminal voltage was measured using voltage transducers made by M/s. LEM. The load current was measured using current sensors by M/s. LEM, USA. All of these devices were calibrated before use, with accuracies better than 1%.

Fig. 6: Estimated power output of a 1.5 m diameter unidirectional impulse turbine

Fig. 7: 165 diameter unidirectional flow turbine for exhaust and air vent with bluff body for intake

Power and speed
The electrical power was estimated from the generator terminal voltage and load current. The turbine speed was estimated from the generator terminal voltage to within 2% error.

Data acquisition
All the data pertinent to the evaluation were logged by a data acquisition system (DAQ, USB 6215, National Instruments) at 1 kS/s. Low pass filters were employed to remove noise in the signals.
Fig. 8 shows one typical result. It primarily validates the notion of using twin UDI turbines by ensuring appropriate intake and exhaust flow through the turbine and orifice respectively and also quantitatively establishes the efficiency of the turbine. Fig. 8 shows the output from the generator for various stroke lengths of the piston that drives the oscillatory flow. The peak pressure drop observed on the test rig across a 30 mm diameter orifice matched with the peak pressure drop across the turbine.

In effect the experiments and simulation confirm the likely improvements in BBDB performance by replacing the FGV turbine with a UDI turbine.

![Graph showing alternator power output vs. piston stroke length](image-url)

**Fig. 8. Average power output of alternator vs. piston stroke length vis-à-vis electrical resistance**

**6. Conclusions**

A power module based on the twin unidirectional impulse turbine topology can substantially improve the efficiency of a BBDB. Experiments on a 165 mm turbine validate the claims of improved efficiency. A 1.5 m turbine can produce about 50 kW in comparison with 30 kW from a fixed guide impulse turbine for the same wave excitation. Variable speed operation is a must in order to retain the high efficiency over the range of operation. There exists a strong case for floating OWCs in several applications involving powers in the tens of kW range.
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