The effect of distinct operational conditions on organic material removal and biogas production in the anaerobic treatment of cattle manure
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Abstract: Although very difficult to treat due to their complicated composition, the increasing amounts of cattle manure generation makes their purification a compulsory task for environmental engineers to prevent their adverse environmental impacts. Historically, these wastes have been used as a fuel or a soil fertilizer. The generation of cattle manure even in increasing amounts in Turkey, however, makes this kind of use unfeasible. Therefore, new methods to dispose of these wastes are required. This study focuses on the anaerobic digestion process for the treatment of cattle manure. In the study, two lab-scale anaerobic reactors were employed to investigate the effects of different operating temperatures (35 ºC and 55 ºC), of different total suspended solids concentrations (%5 and 10%), of different hydraulic retention times (20 days and 40 days), and of the addition of corn silage on the treatment performance. The performance of the reactor was evaluated with respect to total solids (TS), volatile solids (VS) and biogas production. The results of the study suggested that the thermophilic reactor showed a good treatment performance (59% VS removal and 0.29 L methane per VS added) when the cattle manure of 10% solids content together with corn silage were fed. Besides, it was concluded that the addition of corn silage to the reactors improved the treatment efficiencies and that the addition of irrigational organic materials increases biogas production rate. The results of the study point out that anaerobic digestion process is a viable option for cattle manure stabilization and valuable gas production.
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Nomenclature

TS  total solids.................................... mg.kg⁻¹
VS  volatile solids ............................... mg.kg⁻¹
HRT  hydraulic retention times ................... day
TOC  total organic carbon.......................mg.kg⁻¹
COD  chemical oxygen demand............... mg.L⁻¹
cfu  colony forming unit...................................
Although being a very complicated process, anaerobic digestion simply involves three stages as (1) the conversion of high-density organic materials into low-density materials by hydrolysis, (2) the conversion of low-density organics into acetate by acid bacteria, and (3) methane production by methane bacteria by consuming acetate, carbon dioxide and hydrogen [2]. Coskun et al. (2009) listed the factors affecting the anaerobic digestion of cattle manure as (1) solids content and hydraulic retention time, (2) pH and alkalinity, (3) trace elements and nutrients, (4) temperature, (5) toxic content of the waste, (6) C/N ratio, and (7) dilution ratio of the waste [3].

This study aims at the evaluation of anaerobic digestion alternatives for cattle manure treatment with differing hydraulic retention times, feed contents and feed solids contents. The investigation involved the determination of the most feasible anaerobic digestion method for the treatment of cattle manure in Turkey.

2. Methodology

2.1. Characterization of cattle manure

Cattle manure contains insoluble organic materials as well as soluble organics such as polysaccharides, fats, and volatile fatty acids. Their high chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonia and phosphorous content make them very complicated and extremely difficult to treat [4]. The cattle manure used in this study was obtained from Gebze District of Kocaeli of Turkey and the characteristics of the waste are given in Table 1.

Table 1. General characteristics of the raw cattle manure (Gebze-Kocaeli)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>80.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile solids (VS)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>73.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>39.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Measurement methods

TS, VS, total organic carbon (TOC), biogas production and methane content of the biogas were continuously monitored during the study. For TS and VS, the method “DS/EN 12879 Characterization of sludges” was followed. TOC analyses were conducted using Hach-Lange IL 550 TOC/TN device. TOC analyses were conducted in the effluents from the reactors starting from the sixth week of the study. The biogas production was measured daily via a Ritter Drum-type gasmeter and LMSx Multigas Analyser was used to assess the biogas composition. Salmonella spp. was measured according to “ISO 6579/April, 1996 Salmonella measurement methods” while “NF-ISO 16649 (June 2001) Horizontal method for the enumeration of glucuronidase-positive Escherichia coli β – Part 2: Technique of colony count at 44 °C by means of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronate acid (IC: V08-031-2)” was used for E.coli measurement.
2.3. Experimental setup

Two lab-scale, completely mixed, stainless-steel, cylindrical anaerobic reactors with 10 L of active volumes were operated at 35 °C and 55 °C, simultaneously, for the treatment of cattle manure. The solids contents of 5% and 10% along with the hydraulic retention times of 20 days and 40 days were used during the study. Solids content of the manure was set to 5% or 10% with tap water before feeding. Further, mixtures of cattle manure and corn silage were fed to determine the effects of the use of a supplementary organic material. The reactor performances were evaluated with respect to TS, VS, *Salmonella* spp., and E.coli removal efficiencies as well as biogas production rate. The experimental setup is shown in Figure 1.
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**Fig. 1. Lab-scale anaerobic treatment system [3].**

3. Results

3.1. Cattle manure feed at 5% solids content

First of all, the reactors were fed with only cattle manure of 5% solids content and were operated at 20 days of hydraulic retention time (HRT) at 35 °C (mesophilic range) and 55 °C (thermophilic range), respectively. After 10 weeks of successful operation, the retention times were increased to 40 days. Figure 2 shows the change of TS, VS and TOC when only cattle manure of 5% solids content was fed to the reactors.

The TS concentration in the effluent of mesophilic reactor at HRT=20 days changed between 39,000 and 43,000 mg/kg while values of same range were obtained in the effluent of thermophilic reactor. The TS removal efficiency of the mesophilic reactor was calculated between 14% and 21% while that of thermophilic one ranged between 10% to 21%. After increasing the HRT to 40 days, the TS content of the effluents were increased first and then decreased to 31,000 mg/kg for mesophilic reactor and 32,000 mg/kg for thermophilic one in 16th week. At the end of the study, the TS removal efficiencies reached to about 38% and 36% for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors, respectively. The reason for the TS removal efficiency to drop first when the HRT was increased from 20 days to 40 days is the reaction of microorganisms to the changing environmental conditions [3].

During the study, VS concentration of the waste was determined to be 38,800 mg/kg. For HRT=20 days, effluent VS concentrations ranged from 27,000 to 30,000 mg/kg and from 28,000 to 31,000 mg/kg for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors, respectively. The VS removal efficiencies ranged from 23% to 30% and from 20% to 29%, respectively. After increasing the HRT to 40 days, the effluent VS concentrations increased first and decreased to around 23,000 mg/kg for both reactors. At the end of the study, the VS removal efficiencies were about 41% for both reactors.
TOC concentration of the waste was measured as approximately between 15,600 and 19,900 mg/kg. For HRT=20 days, average effluent TOC concentrations were around 11,700 mg/kg and 12,300 mg/kg for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors, respectively. After increasing the HRT to 40 days, average effluent TOC concentrations were increased to about 12,000 and 13,300 mg/kg, respectively. The effluent TOC concentrations averaged over the whole study were 11,900 and 13,000 mg/kg for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors, respectively, and average TOC removal efficiencies of the reactors were calculated as 32.8% and 25.4%, respectively.

Starting with the second week of the study, biogas production rate showed an increasing trend for both reactors. However, the rate was decreased after increasing the HRT. The biogas production rate was measured as between 0.10 and 0.34 L biogas/ gVS added, and between 0.15 and 0.41 L biogas/ gVS added for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors according to the HRTs of 20 days and 40 days, respectively. During the whole study, methane content of the biogas was between 45% and 55% for both reactors. Therefore, the methane production rates for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors ranged from 0.06 to 0.19 L methane/ gVS added and from 0.08 to 0.23 L methane/ gVS added, respectively.

Salmonella spp. was not detected in both effluents during the whole study. For thermophilic reactor, E. coli was determined to be 10 cfu/kg while this value reached up to 1,000 cfu/kg for mesophilic one. The results showed that the mesophilic range of operating temperature (35 °C) was less effective in E.coli removal while they are completely removed in thermophilic temperature (55 °C).
3.2. Cattle manure feed at 10% solids content

In this stage of the study, the solids content of the raw waste was increased to 10% and the reactors were operated at HRT of 40 days. Average concentrations of TS, VS, and TOC in the raw waste were determined to be 100,000 mg/kg, 82,400 mg/kg, and 36,400 mg/kg, respectively. The results of TS, VS, and TOC analyses in the effluents are shown in Figure 3. For mesophilic reactor, TS, VS, and TOC removal efficiencies were around 20.3%, 23.8%, and 21.3%, respectively. The removal efficiencies for the thermophilic reactor were calculated as 24.9%, 28.3%, and 22.1%, respectively.

In this stage, the mesophilic and thermophilic reactors produced 0.12 to 0.23 L\text{biogas}/g\text{VS}_{\text{added}} and 0.16 to 0.32 L\text{biogas}/g\text{VS}_{\text{added}} of biogas, respectively. The methane production rates were measured as between 1.17 to 2.16 L/day and 1.47 to 2.89 L/day, respectively. In terms of VS fed to the reactors, mesophilic reactor produced 0.06 to 0.10 L methane per g\text{VS}_{\text{added}} while the rate for thermophilic one was measured as between 0.08 and 0.14 L\text{methane}/g\text{VS}_{\text{added}}.

In the aspect of Salmonella spp. removal, both reactors produced perfect effluents. However, this was not the same for E. coli. E. coli was not detected in the effluent from the thermophilic reactor while the mesophilic one was less effective in E. coli removal.

3.3. Mixture of cattle manure and corn silage feed at 10% solids content

In this stage of the study, anaerobic digestion of cattle manure along with corn silage as supplementary organic waste was investigated. In this stage of the study corn silage was added to cattle manure of 10% solids content and the reactors were operated at an HRT of 40 days. TS, VS, and TOC concentrations of the corn silage were measured as 230,000 mg/kg, 205,000 mg/kg, and 83,000 mg/kg, respectively. Those of the mixture of cattle manure and
corn silage were determined to be 161,600 mg/kg, 140,600 mg/kg, and 58,400 mg/kg, respectively. TS, VS, and TOC concentrations measured in the effluents from the reactors are shown in Figure 4.

TS removal efficiencies of the reactors were calculated as around 47.5% for mesophilic one and around 51.4% for thermophilic one while VS removal efficiencies were observed as about 53% and about 59%, respectively. 49% and 52.4% of TOC removal efficiencies were obtained for mesophilic and thermophilic reactors, respectively.

After feeding the mixture of cattle manure and corn silage together, the biogas production rate was measured as between 0.16 and 0.37 L\(_\text{biogas}/\text{gVS}_{\text{added}}\) for mesophilic reactor and between 0.31 and 0.54 L\(_\text{biogas}/\text{gVS}_{\text{added}}\) for thermophilic reactor. For mesophilic and thermophilic reactors, methane production rates were observed to range from 0.08 to 0.19 L\(_\text{methane}/\text{gVS}_{\text{added}}\) and from 0.15 to 0.29 L\(_\text{methane}/\text{gVS}_{\text{added}}\), respectively.

Although the removal efficiency in mesophilic reactor increased considerably by the use of corn silage as a supplementary organic waste, the reactor was still less effective in E.coli removal than thermophilic reactor which completely removed E.coli and Salmonella spp.

4. Discussion

The results from the both reactors, which are used to treat cattle manure of 5% and 10% solids content anaerobically, suggests that TS, VS, and TOC removal efficiencies as well as biogas and methane production rate per gram VS added were quite similar. The highest removal efficiencies and the highest biogas/methane production rates were obtained in the stage in which cattle manure and corn silage were mixed to obtain 10% of solids content. The results from this stage were compared to the literature data (Table 2). The results shown in Table 2
suggest that study results (VS removal efficiency and methane production rate) are satisfactory compared to literature data. Finally, it is necessary to state that the thermophilic reactor was successful in both Salmonella spp. and E.coli removal in stages of the study while mesophilic one was not satisfactory in E.coli removal although it successfully removed Salmonella spp. Related Turkish legislation suggests that Salmonella spp. and E.coli must not be detected in the effluent [5].

Table 2. Comparison of the study results with literature data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reactor type</th>
<th>VS removal (%)</th>
<th>Methane production (L(<em>{\text{methane}}/g\text{VS}</em>{\text{added}}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current study*</td>
<td>Complete mix</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.15 – 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>Fill-decant anaerobic</td>
<td>48 – 53.6</td>
<td>0.24 – 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>Anaerobic hybride</td>
<td>59 – 68</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Two-phase anaerobic digester</td>
<td>30.3 – 62.4</td>
<td>0.07 – 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>Temperature-phased anaerobic digester (TPAD)</td>
<td>37 – 41.5</td>
<td>0.15 – 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>Complete mix</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>Temperature-phased anaerobic digester (TPAD)</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>Anaerobic SBR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.07 – 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>Complete mix</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Fill-decant type</td>
<td>42 – 52</td>
<td>0.17 – 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>Complete mix</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cattle manure of 10% solid content mixed with corn silage

5. Conclusions

The performance of anaerobic digestion process for the treatment of cattle manure was investigated in this study. The results of the study, in which the effects of different operational temperatures (35 °C and 55 °C), different solids content of the feed (5% and 10%), different hydraulic retention times (20 and 40 days) and the addition of corn silage to the feed on the TS and VS removal performances of the reactors and on the biogas production, suggests

- that cattle manure is possible to treat by both feeding alone and feeding mixed with an organic supplementary material (corn silage for the case),
- that the addition of corn silage to the cattle manure increases the treatment efficiency in both reactors,
- that higher VS removal efficiencies and higher methane production were observed if corn silage are provided to the feed,
- that the thermophilic range of operational temperature shows higher performance in the aspects of both methane production and pathogen removal,
- and that cattle manure can be stabilized by anaerobic digestion in an economical and environmentally beneficial way.

Considering all results from the study, it was concluded that thermophilic reactor was satisfactorily effective in pathogen microorganisms removal and VS stabilization. Besides during the stabilization process the use of a supplementary organic material (corn silage) was proven to sustain high energy production potential.
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